IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

AAA LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, **CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:10-CV-158**

Plaintiff

(Judge Conner)

v.

DEBORAH A. KNEAVEL, et al.,

Defendants

ORDER

AND NOW, this 6th day of January, 2012, upon consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Mildred E. Methyin (Doc. 45), recommending that Deborah Kneavel's motion to strike (Doc. 33) be granted and motion for summary judgment (Doc. 25) be denied, and, following an independent review of the record, it appearing that neither party has objected to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation, and that there is no clear error on the face of the record, see Nara v. Frank, 488 F.3d 187, 194 (3d Cir. 2007) (explaining that "failing to timely object to [a

¹ When parties fail to file timely objections to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, the Federal Magistrates Act does not require a district court to review the report before accepting it. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). As a matter of good practice, however, the Third Circuit expects courts to "afford some level of review to dispositive legal issues raised by the report." Henderson v. Carlson, 812 F.2d 874, 878 (3d Cir. 1987). The advisory committee notes to Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure indicate that "[w]hen no timely objection is filed, the court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b), advisory committee notes; see also Henderson, 812 F.2d at 878-79 (stating that "the failure of a party to object to a magistrate's legal conclusions may result in the loss of the right to de novo review in the district court"); Tice v. Wilson, 425 F. Supp. 2d 676, 680 (W.D. Pa. 2006) (holding that the court's review is conducted under the "plain error" standard); Cruz v. Chater, 990 F. Supp. 375-78 (M.D. Pa. 1998) (holding that the court's review is limited to ascertaining whether there is "clear error on the face of the record"); Oldrati v. Apfel, 33 F. Supp. 2d 397, 399 (E.D. Pa. 1998) (holding that the court will review the report and recommendation for "clear error"). The court has reviewed the magistrate judge's report and recommendation in accordance with this Third Circuit directive.

report and recommendation] in a civil proceeding may result in forfeiture of *de novo* review at the district court level"), it is hereby ORDERED that:

- 1. The Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Methvin (Doc. 45) are ADOPTED.
- 2. Deborah Kneavel's motion to strike (Doc. 33) Guidetta Alice Kneavel's motion for summary judgment (Doc. 31) is GRANTED. Guidetta Alice Kneavel's motion for summary judgment (Doc. 31) is STRICKEN from the docket as untimely filed.
- 3. Deborah Kneavel's motion for summary judgment (Doc. 25) is DENIED.
- 4. A revised pretrial/trial schedule shall issue by separate order.

S/ Christopher C. Conner CHRISTOPHER C. CONNER United States District Judge